

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

New claim

New claim 8-18 derives from in-person interview on April 4, 2007. No new matter is presented.

Specification

The specification has been amended to correct minor editorial problems.

35 USC 102(b)

Claims 1-7 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Han (U.S. Patent No. 5,633,840) or Maeda (JP, 08-096,533).

“A claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference.” *Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Co. of California*, 814 F.2d 628, 631 (MPEP § 2131). As can be gleaned from the cited case law, the requirement is that each element must be either expressly or inherently described.

During the in-person interview on April 4, 2007, Applicant’s representative and Examiner Dinh have discussed the moving pattern of the current invention in detail. Particularly, the application’s figure 2 discloses sledge motor controlling different moving speeds in sequence. The prior arts on record only inherently disclose moving in different speeds as a result of law of physics, where the optical disk pickup head has to be stopped when arriving at the targeting address. The prior arts on record do not disclose or teach controlling different moving speeds in sequence as illustrated in figure 2.

New claims 8-18 are presented in this application. Favorable decision is respectively requested on all pending claims.

Respectfully submitted,
WPAT, P.C.

By____/Justin King_____
Justin I. King
Registration No. 50,464

WPAT, P.C.
1940 Duke Street,
Suite 200
Alexandria, VA 22314
Telephone (703) 684-4411
Fax (703) 880-7487